

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,752	06/27/2003	Yong Sung Ham	049128-5110	5560	
30827 7590 11/01/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER		
			SHERMAN, STEPHEN G		
WASHINGTO:	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ŷ.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/606,752	HAM ET AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen G. Sherman	2629			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Stephen G. Sherman.	(3) Eric J. Nuss.				
(2) <u>Isaac Clark</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 24 October 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>11</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: AAPA (Figure 4) Morita (US 2002/0196221) and Lee (US 2001/0038372).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
•					
	٠				
		AMR A. AWAD			
·	SUPERVISO	DRY PATENT EX	AMINER		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Ann Aha	·			
Attachment to a signed Office action.	⊏xammer s sign	ature, if required	l		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative's explained what is claimed in independent claim 11 and what is taught by the prior art, and then presented arguments articulating that Lee discloses of comparing reduced bit values to retrieve a modulated value which is added to the least significant bits to provide the final output data, whereas their claimed invention requires for the modulator to replace all of the bits of the source data with the stored preset modulated data and also states that a bit number of the stored preset modulated data is more than that of the reduced-bit source data. The examiner argued that Lee was only used to teach of starting with an 8-bit value and reducing the number of bits for comparison and then outputing modulated data which is greater in the number of bits than the reduced bit source data, and that in combination, this modulated data would be stored preset modulated data as taught by AAPA and Morita, where AAPA teaches that all of the bits used in comparison are replaced by stored preset modulated data. The applicant's representatives and the examiner could not come to an agreement on the claims.